Very-high-energy gamma radiation from supernova remnants as seen with H.E.S.S.
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Abstract: Very-high-energy (VHE, E > 100 GeV) γ radiation has already been detected from several supernova remnants (SNRs). These objects, which are well-studied in radio, optical and X-ray wavelengths, constitute one of the most intriguing source classes in VHE astronomy. H.E.S.S., an array of four imaging atmospheric Cherenkov telescopes in Namibia, has recorded an extensive dataset of VHE γ-ray observations covering the central region of the Milky Way, both from pointed observations as well as from the Galactic Plane Survey conducted in the inner region of the Galaxy. From radio observations, several hundred SNRs are known in the Milky Way, but until now only few of them have been identified as VHE γ-ray emitters. Using the H.E.S.S. dataset and a large ensemble of radio SNRs localized in the inner region of the Galaxy, the standard framework that links the origin of cosmic rays to the γ-ray visibility of SNRs can now be tested. Here we present the ensemble of investigated SNRs and discuss constraints on the parameter space used within a theoretical model of hadronic VHE γ-ray production.
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1 Introduction

SNRs are widely believed to be the main sources of Galactic Cosmic Rays (CRs) with energies of up to 10^{15} eV. The amount of energy released during a supernova (SN) explosion, the rate of Galactic SNe and the energy density of CRs together with diffusive shock acceleration as a possible acceleration mechanism make SNRs the prime candidates for the acceleration of CRs. In radio, optical and X-ray wavelengths deep observations on SNRs have been performed and several SNRs have been discovered in the relatively young field of VHE γ-ray astronomy. With H.E.S.S. the shell-type morphology of individual SNRs such as RX J1713.7-3946 [1], SN 1006 [2], Vela Junior [3] and HESS J1731-347 [4] has been resolved. These discoveries support the hypothesis of particle acceleration in the shell of SNRs. Using the large dataset of H.E.S.S. accumulated during the Galactic plane survey (GPS) [5] in recent years we study SNRs in the inner Galactic region, ranging from 275° to 60° in Galactic longitude and from -3° to 3° in Galactic latitude.

Here, the VHE γ-ray signal of known radio SNRs listed in Green’s radio SNR catalog [6] is investigated. For each SNR within the GPS region, an upper limit on the VHE γ-ray flux has been calculated and can be compared to the model predictions. In this work we test the commonly used model of the hadronic γ-ray emission expected from SNRs presented by Drury et al. [7]. They calculate the integral γ-ray flux from hadronic interactions based on intrinsic SN parameters. VHE γ rays result from the decay of neutral pions generated in proton–proton interactions in the expanding shell of the SNR. For the first time, the high sensitivity of H.E.S.S. and the large dataset of the GPS allow us to derive upper limits that are low enough to test model parameters. Using the upper limit on the VHE γ-ray flux represents a conservative test since an additional leptonic component might be present in the flux.

2 Probing the hadronic model

Assuming that CRs are accelerated and VHE γ rays produced in SNRs, the flux of VHE γ rays depends on explosion and acceleration parameters, the properties of the ambient medium, and the distance of the SNR. Drury et al. [7] calculate the expected integral flux of VHE γ rays from SNRs generated from hadronic CR interactions above a given energy threshold E:

\[ F_\gamma(> E) \approx 9 \cdot 10^{-11} \theta \left( \frac{E}{1 \text{ TeV}} \right)^{-1.1} \left( \frac{E_{SN}}{10^{51} \text{erg}} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{d}{1 \text{ kpc}} \right)^{-2} \left( \frac{n}{1 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right) \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}, \]

(1)
where \( \theta \) is the efficiency of the particle acceleration, \( E_{SN} \) the total energy released during the supernova explosion, \( d \) the distance to the SNR and \( n \) the density of the interstellar medium surrounding the SNR. Typical values are \( \theta = 0.1 \), \( E_{SN} = 10^{51} \text{erg} \) and \( n = 1 \text{cm}^{-3} \) [7]. For this model the authors assume a power law with a spectral index of \( \Gamma = 2.1 \).

3 SNR sample

203 SNRs listed in Green’s radio SNR catalog are located within the H.E.S.S. GPS region. For these SNRs an upper limit on the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray flux can be derived based on H.E.S.S. observations. A subsample used for the investigation of the hadronic model is chosen following theoretical considerations. The essential criterion for VHE \( \gamma \)-ray production is the evolutionary stage of the respective SNR. Except for the very young SNR G1.9+0.3 with an age of \( \sim 110 \) years [8], all SNRs with known age can be expected to have reached the evolutionary stage of the Sedov phase where efficient particle acceleration takes place. An upper age bound for the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray visibility of SNRs has been discussed in several publications. Drury et al. [7] give an age of \( \sim 10^4 \) years where temperatures drop below \( \sim 10^6 \) K and energy losses due to thermal cooling and particle escape from the shell due to magnetic instabilities become more important. Ptuskin & Zirakashvili [9] study nonlinear effects in SNRs leading to a decrease of the maximum energy of accelerated particles. A generic prediction of the models they consider is a reduced VHE \( \gamma \)-ray visibility of SNRs at an age larger than a few thousand years. In the present work we therefore restrict the investigation of the hadronic model to SNRs with a known age of up to 5000 years.

Predicting the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray flux of SNRs requires a reliable estimate of their distance. These distance estimates are usually obtained through the comparison of HI absorption spectra with respective HI and molecular (\(^{12}\)CO, \(^{13}\)CO) emission spectra along the line of sight (see [10] and references therein). The uncertainties of the Galactic rotation curve model used to estimate these kinematic distances, together with those on the distance to the Galactic Center and the circular velocity of the Sun lead to errors of the order of 10-30%. For relatively nearby (a few kpc away) SNRs with detected radio, optical or X-ray emission, it is sometimes possible to measure the expansion rate of the shell or otherwise, that of the associated pulsed wind nebula, see [11] for G21.5-0.9 which constrains the dynamical age (subject to the evolutionary stage of the SNR) and, therefore, provides information about the distance to the source (see [12] for Vela Junior).

The 20 SNRs considered within this study are listed in Table 1 together with their mean radius \( R \), distance \( d \), age, the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray flux upper limit (\( \Phi^{UL} \), sec. 4) and the derived upper limit on the model parameters (\( \theta E_{SN}^{UL} \), sec. 5). The mean radius \( R \) is derived from the major and minor diameter given in Green’s catalog as the geometric mean \( R = \sqrt[2]{D_{maj} \cdot D_{min}} \). The SNR positions have also been taken from the catalog. For the analysis the same spectral index of \( \Gamma = 2.1 \) has been adopted from the model of Drury et al. for all SNRs, allowing us to perform a standardized analysis of the entire SNR sample. For some sources the spectral index is known to be other than 2.1 which leads to differing analysis results compared to a detailed spectral analysis (see Aharonian et al. [13] for G0.9+0.1, HESS J1747-281).

4 Upper limit on the VHE \( \gamma \)-ray flux

For the calculation of a flux upper limit, a reference flux with a predefined spectral shape has to be assumed,

\[
\phi_{ref}(E) = \phi_0 \left( \frac{E}{E_0} \right)^{-\Gamma}
\]

The normalization can be chosen arbitrarily as it will cancel out in the end. We use \( E_0 = 1 \text{ TeV} \) in the following. For any given run with live-time \( T \), the number of expected signal events from a source can then be calculated as

\[
N_{run} = T \int_{E_{min}}^{\infty} \phi_{ref}(E) A_{eff}(E, q) \, dE
\]

Here, \( E \) is energy, \( A_{eff} \) is the effective area, \( q \) symbolizes the observation parameters (e.g. the zenith angle) and \( E_{min} \) is the threshold energy appropriate for the run. The individual expected counts can then be summed over all runs to yield the total number \( N \) of expected counts.

An upper limit on the integral flux above energy \( E_1 \) is then calculated as

\[
\Phi^{UL}_{\gamma} = \frac{n_{UL}}{N} \int_{E_1}^{\infty} \phi_{ref}(E) \, dE
\]

where \( n_{UL} \) is the upper limit on the excess counts above threshold that can be obtained from the measured number of photon candidates above threshold within the signal region, \( N_{ON} \), the corresponding number of counts from a suitable background control region, \( N_{OFF} \), and the background normalization factor \( \alpha \) [14], by using the profile likelihood method of Rolke et al. [15].

The signal region is taken to be a circle of radius \( \theta \), where \( \theta = 0.1^\circ \) for SNRs with radius \( R \leq 0.05^\circ \) and \( \theta = \sqrt{R^2 + 3 \cdot \theta_{PSF}^2} \) for SNRs with radius \( R > 0.05^\circ \). This means that small SNRs are treated as pointlike sources and \( \theta \) is chosen such that the significance gain \( \sigma/\sqrt{\sigma} \) is optimized for the point spread function (PSF) of the instrument. The PSF is characterized by the scale \( \theta_{PSF} = 0.1^\circ \) here. For SNRs that have to be considered as extended on the scale of the PSF, the integration radius is chosen such that the emission of the SNR can be assumed to be fully contained and spillout from PSF effects is negligible. The effective area curves were calculated separately for the two cases. The flux upper limits are calculated at the 95% confidence level and given in units of the flux of the Crab nebula of \( \Phi_{Crab}(E > 1 \text{ TeV}) = 2.2 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \).
5 Discussion

Supernova remnants are the most plausible sites of cosmic-ray acceleration. As a consequence, they are predicted to be emitters of VHE $\gamma$ radiation by $\pi^0$ decay during the early stage of their evolution. The good sensitivity of H.E.S.S. and the large dataset accumulated in its Galactic Plane Survey now allow us to compare quantitative estimates for this emission to observations, and to study the SNR population in the Inner Galaxy as a whole. From Green’s catalog of radio SNRs, candidates were selected whose estimated age makes them predicted sources of VHE $\gamma$ radiation and the existence of a distance measurement allows for the expected flux to be calculated.

Fig. 1 shows the distribution of the upper limits on the product $p \equiv \theta E_{\text{SN}}^{1/3}$, erg cm$^{-3}$ as calculated from the H.E.S.S. flux upper limit in the framework of the model of Drury et al. The vertical line marks a value of $p = 0.1$ which would be expected for a supernova explosion energy of $E_{\text{SN}} = 10^{51}$ erg, an ambient number density of $n = 1$ cm$^{-3}$, and an acceleration efficiency of $\theta = 0.1$.

The H.E.S.S. upper limits fall within the same order of magnitude of this value.

SNRs with an upper limit on the $p$ parameter above 0.1 can be divided into two groups: those with low exposure and those with detection in VHE $\gamma$ rays. In the first case the H.E.S.S. coverage of the region is not deep enough to put a constraining upper limit on the SNR, whereas in the latter case VHE $\gamma$-ray emission has been detected, which can originate from the shell itself (e.g. G347.3-0.5, HESS J1713-397), from a possible bright pulsar wind nebulae (PWN, e.g. G0.9+0.1, HESS J1747-281) or can result from an overlapping bright source (e.g. G27.4+0.0, HESS J1841-055).

There are a few SNRs that appear to be underluminous, and they are interesting because, in principle, they have the potential of falsifying the model of hadronic $\gamma$-ray production. But the uncertainties in the input parameters to the model are large. In particular, the ambient density is usually uncertain by an order of magnitude or more and the acceleration efficiency is also not well known.

In summary, the present study constitutes a first falsification test for a commonly used model of the $\gamma$-ray visibility of supernova remnants. Further observations and future instruments like the Cherenkov Telescope Array (CTA) with its vastly increased sensitivity and good survey capabilities will contribute to a better understanding of acceleration mechanisms of cosmic rays.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNR</th>
<th>H.E.S.S. name</th>
<th>$R$</th>
<th>$d$</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>$\Phi_{UL}$</th>
<th>$(\theta E_{SN} n)_{UL}$</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G0.9+0.1</td>
<td>HESS J1747-281</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>[16, 13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G11.2-0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G12.8-0.0</td>
<td>HESS J1813-178</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>[18, 19, 20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G15.9+0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>[21, 22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G16.7+0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>[23, 24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G21.5-0.9</td>
<td>HESS J1833-105</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>[11, 25], [26, 27, 28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G27.4+0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>[10, 29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G28.6-0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>[30, 31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G29.7-0.3</td>
<td>HESS J1846-029, Kes 75</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>[28, 32, 33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G31.9+0.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4050</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>[34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G43.3-0.2</td>
<td>HESS J1911+090, W 49B</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>[35, 36, 37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G291.0-0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G292.0+1.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2990</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>[39, 40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G292.2-0.5</td>
<td>HESS J1119-614</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1610</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>[41, 42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G309.2-0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>[44, 45]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G315.4-2.3</td>
<td>HESS J1442-624, RCW 86</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1825</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>[46, 47]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G347.3-0.5</td>
<td>RX J1713.7-3946</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>[1, 47, 48]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G348.5+0.1</td>
<td>HESS J1714-385, CTB 37A</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1617</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>[49, 51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G348.7+0.3</td>
<td>HESS J1713-381, CTB 37B</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>[49, 51]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G349.7+0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>[50, 51]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Parameters used for the SNRs considered within the present study, together with the preliminary values of the H.E.S.S. integral flux upper limit (at the 95% confidence level in units of the flux of the Crab nebula) and the derived upper limit on $\theta \cdot E_{SN} \cdot n$. The H.E.S.S. identifier is given for those sources where the SNR or an associated PWN is the most likely counterpart.

Figure 1: Distribution of the preliminary upper limit of $\theta \cdot E_{SN} \cdot n$ for SNRs considered in the present study.