Searching for signatures of nearby sources of Cosmic rays in their local chemical composition
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Abstract: Supernova remnants are most probably the sources of the bulk of Galactic cosmic rays. Full 3D time dependent calculations of the propagation of cosmic rays (CRs) have shown that if CRs indeed originate from supernova remnants, transient point-like sources, the flux of the CR primary component measured at Earth depends strongly on the local source history, whereas the secondary component shows only little or no variations due to nearby sources. The most widely used steady state, rotational symmetric models (2D) of CR propagation cannot take into account the local source history, but rather mimic source histories that result in the same local CR flux as the smeared-out sources assumed in 2D models and do not necessarily coincide with the real local source history. Using a steady state, rotational symmetric model for a parameter study, one may thus expect different best fit values looking at the primary and secondary CR components separately, in case the local source history does not provide the same local CR flux as the source assumed in 2D models. We adapted the GALPROP code to a cluster environment and perform parameter studies looking at mainly primary and mainly secondary CR data combined and separately. First results of these studies are presented.
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1 Introduction

The discovery of direct evidence for the acceleration of high energetic particles at the shell supernova remnant RXJ1713.7-3946 [1] substantiates the origin of hadronic cosmic rays in supernova remnants (SNR). This finding also emphasized the need of a 3D, time-dependent treatment of the Galactic CR propagation. Time-dependent calculations taking into account all three spatial dimensions have shown that the flux of the CR primary component measured at Earth strongly depends on the local source history, given the bulk of the Galactic CR originates in transient, point-like sources [2], as are supernova and their remnants.

Standard, steady state, rotational symmetric models (2D models) of CR propagation cannot take into account the local source history, but rather mimic source histories that result in the same local CR flux as the smeared out source assumed in 2D models. These do not necessarily coincide with the real local source history.

On the other hand, even in fully 3D time-dependent calculations, the flux of the secondary CR component shows little or no variations due to nearby sources. This indicates that 2D models and to some extent also leaky box models [4] are sufficient to model the local flux of these nuclei.

When working with 2D models, concentrating on secondary, tertiary and higher CR nuclei may thus yield a better description of the galactic CR propagation, as the local flux of these isotopes does not depend on the local source history.

2 Method

Time-dependent calculations taking into account all three spatial dimensions are still numerically too involved for large parameter studies, we thus use for our current work the 2D version of the GALPROP code [7, 6, 3] for an extensive parameter study. As shown above, this is a valid approach for secondary CR component, but may fail to correctly compute the flux of the CR primary component. Therefore, we divide the existing CR data into three components according to the fraction of secondary nuclei they contain:

- Primary component: secondary fraction < 30%
- Mixed component: secondary fraction > 30%, < 70%
- Secondary component: secondary fraction > 70%

The data was taken from the cosmic ray database maintained by A. Strong and I. Moskalenko [5]. For each model, we calculated the $\chi^2$ value for each entry in the database. The results were then added up for each of the three CR components separately. At energies below $\approx$10 GeV the effect of solar modulation has to be taken into account. This was done using the force field approximation.
The temporal variation of the modulation during a solar cycle was taken into account by using a time-dependent modulation parameter, obtained from proton data from different epochs in the solar cycle.

3 Calculations

For the results presented here, we used the plain diffusion model built into GALPROP. We scanned the parameter space give in table 1, where $k_0$ is the magnitude of the diffusion coefficient at particle rigidity $4\ \text{GV}$, $\delta$ the spectral index of the diffusion coefficient and $\alpha$ that of the sources. The halo height above Galactic plane was fixed to 4 kpc, also we did not take into account effects due to a Galactic wind.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>min</th>
<th>max</th>
<th>unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_0$</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$10^{28}\ \text{cm}^2\text{s}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Parameter space considered.

To avoid the spectral index of the diffusion coefficient below 4 GV as an additional free parameter and also to minimize the impact of our crude description of solar modulation, we only consider data with rigidities above 4 GV.

A total of 30720 models were calculated.

The calculations were performed on the institutional cluster of the North-West University in Potchefstroom.

4 Results

First results of our calculations are presented in Figures 1 to 3, where we show contour plots of best $\chi^2$ values over the parameter range considered for the CR primary, secondary and mixed component, respectively. Our best fit parameters for the three components are given in Table 2. These best fit parameters are marked on the contour plots for easier comparison of the relative locations. A 4-point star for the primary component, a diamond for the secondary component and a square for the mixed component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>secondary</th>
<th>primary</th>
<th>mixed</th>
<th>unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$k_0$</td>
<td>1.92831</td>
<td>2.86808</td>
<td>1.02168</td>
<td>$10^{28}\ \text{cm}^2\text{s}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta$</td>
<td>0.767742</td>
<td>0.10000</td>
<td>0.10000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>2.20968</td>
<td>2.66129</td>
<td>2.79032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Best fit values for the secondary, primary and mixed component.

Looking at Table 2 and Figures 1 and 2, the different locations of the minimum $\chi^2$ for primary and secondary CR component in the $k_0$-$\alpha$, $\alpha$-$\delta$, and $k_0$-$\delta$ planes is apparent. The $\chi^2$ contours are also quite different, thus the three components show different sensitivities to the model parameters.

Not surprisingly, the plots for the mixed component resemble somewhat a superposition of the corresponding secondary and primary plots.

The high $\chi^2$ values for models with $\alpha$ not in the range $2.0 < \alpha < 3.0$ indicate that values outside this range can be disregarded.

In our calculations, the primary and secondary components of the Galactic CR seems to favour different regions in the scanned parameter space. As mentioned in the introduction, a possible explanation of our findings is that 2D models are indeed incapable to correctly describe the local CR sources.

The LIS produced by the best fit models with parameters listed in Table 2, are plotted in Figures 4 to 6. These figures show the LIS for selected CR species of the three component groups. The LIS is given by the solid curve and the Ptuskin et al. LIS [8] by the dashed curve. LIS shown are Carbon and Iron for the primaries; Boron and Fluorine and Manganese for the secondaries; and finally Nitrogen and Sodium for the mixed group. The experimental data and the corresponding demodulated data above 4GeV used to calculate the $\chi^2$ values are also shown.

All the Ptuskin LIS presented are much lower than those LIS obtained in this study at energies below 10 GeV. Except for the Sodium and Nitrogen LIS the Ptuskin LIS do correspond to the obtained LIS at higher energies.

The LIS for the primary CR species lie within the trend displayed by the data, with Iron lying in the lower part of the trend. The LIS for the mixed CR species deviate from the data at energies above 10 GeV. The LIS for the secondary CR species show good fits at all energies.

Small deviations in the fit of any one CR species in a group are to be expected due to the fact that all the CR species in a component group were simultaneously fitted to the data. The individual fitting of a CR species may thus be lower or higher than expected to fit the data points, but for the whole group the $\chi^2$ value is still a minimum value.

The data points are also inconsistent between different experiments for CR species due to systematic errors especially for the primary component. This results a wider spread of data points and thus larger $\chi^2$ values for species such as Iron, even though the LIS can bee seen to lie within the trend displayed by the data. This mutual exclusion by the experimental datapoints is due to using as many different sets of data as possible. Different experiments are not always consistent in measuring the same LIS and makes fitting the LIS difficult for such large data sets using the $\chi^2$ test.

5 Summary

Looking at the CR primary and secondary components separately, we found that these components favour different best fit values. Although this finding needs further investigations, we suggest it is an indication that the primary CR
distribution does indeed vary in space and time—depending on the local source history, which 2D models fail to describe, as shown by 3D time-dependent calculations [2]. The differences between the LIS obtained in this study and the Ptuskin LIS can possibly be attributed to dependence of the fitting on the data sets. Using different data sets or excluding data from certain experiments will have a meaningful effect on the best fit LIS found. Also, the method of including modulation is important, as choosing a modulation parameter for the force field model can be done arbitrarily.

Future studies could include a better modulation implementation such as a 2D drift model. A next step in this line of study would be choosing one set of data from a reliable experiment and also including other parameters, such as halo height and galactic wind, in the parameter study. Taking reacceleration into account can also be considered.
Figure 4: LIS for Primary CR component species Carbon and Iron. The solid line is the LIS for this study and the dashed line is the LIS from Ptuskin et al. [8]. Experimental data is marked with stars and the data with solar modulation removed is marked with diamonds.

Figure 5: Same as Figure 4 but the LIS for Secondary CR component species Boron and Flourine is shown.

Figure 6: Same as Figure 4 but the LIS for Mixed CR component species Nitrogen and Sodium is shown.
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