The ToF System of the PAMELA Experiment: In-orbit Performance
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Abstract: A time-of-flight scintillator system (ToF) has been developed for the PAMELA satellite-borne cosmic ray experiment, mounted on the Resurs DK1 satellite and launched from the Baikonur cosmodrome on June 15th 2006. PAMELA was built to measure charged particles in the cosmic radiation with a particular focus on antiparticles. The ToF scintillator system provides the fast trigger to the experiment, the rejection of albedo particles, and in combination with a magnetic spectrometer the possibility to distinguish electrons from anti-protons up to about ~1 GeV and to separate light isotopes at low energies. Ionising energy loss measurements in the scintillator planes allow the absolute charge of traversing particles to be determined. The in-orbit performance of the ToF system is presented.

The PAMELA satellite experiment

The PAMELA satellite-borne cosmic ray experiment [1] was built to measure charged particles in the cosmic radiation with a particular focus on antiparticles. It was mounted on the Resurs DK1 satellite and launched from the Baikonur cosmodrome on June 15th 2006. Details about the science of PAMELA [2] as well as about the flight data and general status [3] will be described in separate papers in this conference. In this paper we will focus on the in-orbit performance of the time-of-flight system.

The time-of-flight (ToF) system

The ToF system [4], shown in Figure 1, comprises six layers of fast plastic scintillators (Bicron BC-404) arranged in three planes (S1, S2 and S3), with alternate layers placed orthogonal to each other. The distance between S1 and S3 is 77.3 cm. Time-of-flight information for charged particles passing between planes S1 and S3 is combined with track length information derived from the magnetic spectrometer to determine particle velocities and reject albedo particles. Ionisation (dE/dx) measurements in the scintillator layers allow the particle charge to be determined up to $Z < 8$. Coincidental energy deposits in combinations of planes provide the main trigger for the experiment. The segmentation of each plane allows redundant studies of the trigger efficiency.

Figure 1: The PAMELA time-of-flight system
The sensitive area of each of the two S1 layers is (33·40.8) cm² with the first layer divided into 8 bars and the second layer divided into 6 bars. The total sensitive area of the S2 and S3 planes is (15·18) cm² segmented into 2·2 and 3·3 orthogonal bars, respectively, so there are 24 scintillator bars in total. The S1and S3 layers are 7 mm thick while the S2 layers are 5 mm thick. Both ends of each scintillator bar are glued to a plastic lightguide which is mechanically coupled to a Hamamatsu R5900U photomultiplier (PMT) by means of silicone pads of thickness 3 mm (S1 and S2) and 6 mm (S3). The differences in thickness of the pads were obtained in vibration tests and respect the different vibrational spectra expected during launch. The scintillators and light-guides are wrapped in two layers of 25 µm thick Mylar foil. The S3 plane is mounted directly on the base plate of PAMELA, while the other two planes are enclosed in light-proof boxes suspended off the PAMELA structure. A highvoltage divider circuit is mounted directly behind each PMT. The high-voltage and discrimination threshold for each PMT is chosen to optimize the performance of a given ToF bar.

The ToF electronics system converts the 48 PMT pulses into time- and charge-based measurements. In the timing section, a capacitor is linearly charged during the short time interval defined by the passage of a particle through the ToF system. In the charge section, a capacitor is charged with the PMT pulse charge. In both cases, during read out the capacitor is linearly discharged via a time-stretcher into a time-to-digital converter.

The ToF electronics system [5] comprises a nine board electronics system based around the PAMELA-standard FPGAs and DSPs. A separate trigger board processes signals from the 48 PMTs as well as trigger signals from the calorimeter and bottom scintillator.

Rate counters, dead-/live-time counters and the logic to generate calibration pulse sequences for different subsystems are also implemented. Control masks select trigger types and allow noisy or dead PMT channels to be vetoed and the PMT hit pattern to be recorded for each trigger.

**Single Paddle Resolution**

One of the ways to determine a representative figure for the timing resolution of the TOF system is shown for one of the paddles of the top layer in Figure 2. For events that had been identified as protons (Z=1), the position of the incident particle along the paddle as determined from the timing of the pulses in the two PMTs (in units of nanoseconds) is shown plotted versus the position as determined by the tracker. Note, that this plot shows the raw timing measurements without any amplitude or position corrections.

![Figure 2: Position of the incident particle from timing vs. position from particle trajectory](image)

A linear fit to the distribution is shown as well, and subtracting it yields the distribution of timing deviations from the tracker-position which is shown in Figure 3:

![Figure 3: Timing resolution of a single S11 paddle for protons](image)
Assuming negligible uncertainty in the projected position, a Gaussian fitted to this distribution yields a single standard deviation of $\sigma \approx 200$ ps. Analysis of the performance of the TOF system is at a preliminary stage at the time of submission. For example, small deviations from linearity are visible in Fig. 2. The final analysis will take these non-linearities into account, and also the amplitude-dependent timing walk from leading-edge discrimination. At the current stage the S1 and S3 paddles show a resolution of about 200 ps, and about 150 ps for the S2 paddles.

Particles with higher charges produce more photons in the scintillator as for a proton of equal MeV/nucleon. Since the timing resolution should be proportional to the inverse square root of the number of photoelectrons (to the point where the electronic contribution becomes important), as an example the resolution for helium should be almost half the resolution for protons.
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the distance of the ToF layers and \( t \) the flight time, this results in: \( \Delta(1/\beta) = \Delta t \cdot c/s \). In the combination shown above the actual resolution is about 20% worse than one would expect from the resolution of the single paddles. Since the system has more than just two layers, additional measurements for the same event are available, yielding an improvement of the timing resolution.

In Figure 6 we show the performance of separating particles mass for \( Z=1 \) particles. This plot combines the rigidity measurement for the magnetic spectrometer and the beta from the ToF. In this case the beta was determined from four independent measurements using different layers of S1, S2, and S3. The solid lines in the plot show the expected behaviour for protons and deuterium. This figure illustrates the separation of the isotopes and also the identification of particles smaller than the proton mass.

**Figure 6: Beta versus rigidity plot for \( Z=1 \) particles. The solid lines show the expected behaviour for protons and deuterium**

**Charge Separation**

The energy deposit of the particle in any of the ToF layers will vary as a function of both \( \beta \) and the charge of the particle. Careful measurement of the charge-integral of the PMT-pulses, corrected for incident position, together with the determination of \( \beta \) will therefore yield a measure for \( |Z| \). As an example, Figure 7 shows a plot of the energy deposit measured by one the photomultipliers in a S2 scintillator (in mip) versus \( \beta \) for flight data. The measured particles clearly fall into distinct, easily identified charge bands. Most of the particles in this figure are protons and helium. For the higher charges and a detailed description of the charge analysis using the ToF scintillators see another paper of this conference [6].

**Figure 7: Charge bands for flight data measured by one photomultiplier in a S2 scintillator**
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