Relative abundances of cosmic ray nuclei B-C-N-O in the energy region from 10 GeV/n to 300 GeV/n. Results from ATIC-2 (the science flight of ATIC).
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Abstract: The ATIC balloon-borne experiment measures the energy spectra of elements from H to Fe in primary cosmic rays from about 100 GeV to 100 TeV. ATIC is comprised of a fully active bismuth germanate calorimeter, a carbon target with embedded scintillator hodoscopes, and a silicon matrix that is used as a main charge detector. The silicon matrix produces good charge resolution for the protons and helium but only a partial resolution for heavier nuclei. In the present paper a charge resolution of ATIC device was essentially improved and backgrounds were reduced in the region from Be to Si by means of the upper layer of the scintillator hodoscope that was used as an additional charge detector together with the silicon matrix. The flux ratios of nuclei B/C, C/O, N/O in the energy region from about 10 GeV/nucleon to 300 GeV/nucleon that were obtained from new high-resolution and high-quality charge spectra of nuclei are presented. The results are compared with existing theoretical predictions.

Introduction

The ATIC spectrometer, the procedures of its calibration and the algorithm of trajectory of primary particles reconstruction have been described in [3, 9, 7]. Charge resolution provided by the silicon matrix is sufficient to obtain spectra of primary protons and helium [8, 6] and preliminary spectra of some abundant heavy nuclei [5, 6].

A very important sort of data to understand mechanisms of propagation of cosmic rays in the Galaxy is boron (which is secondary and not abundant nucleus) to carbon fluxes ratio in cosmic rays. The problem of B/C ratio have been widely experimentally investigated in the energy range 0.5–50 GeV/n (see [1] and references herein). The energy range of the ATIC experiment permits to obtain the data for the higher energies (up to 300 GeV/n). But there are obstacles to solve the problem: 1) too low charge resolution of the silicon matrix in the range of charges 5–8, 2) high backgrounds in the silicon matrix charge spectrum in the range of boron and carbon (see fig. 1). In this paper we use the upper layer of the scintillator hodoscope to improve charge resolution of the device and to reduce backgrounds in B-C region to solve B/C problem in the ATIC experiment.

Improved charge spectrum

The upper scintillator layer of the hodoscope is comprised by 42 parallel scintillator strips $1 \times 2 \times 88.2$ cm$^3$. The first task is to force these scintillators to work as a supplementary charge detector. This task includes a multi-step procedure of calibration of scintillators as a charge detector which
Figure 1: The charge spectra obtained with the silicon matrix only and with the silicon matrix plus the upper layer of hodoscope for the range of energy deposit in BGO calorimeter 50–100 GeV (primary energy per particle approximately 150–300 GeV)

will be described in details elsewhere. The method to improve charge spectrum by the charge detected by the upper layer of the scintillator hodoscope is the following. At the first step we use usual method to measure the charge of primary particle by the trajectory reconstructed from signals in BGO-calorimeter and by the charge detected with silicon matrix \( Q_{Si} \) by the maximal signal in the area of confusion for the trajectory as described in \([3, 9, 7]\). At the second step we find the charges detected in each scintillator strip and select the strip with the charge nearest to the charge detected by the silicon matrix \( Q_{Sci} \). The found charge is accepted if the distance from the strip to the reconstructed trajectory is less than 5 cm and is rejected otherwise. At the third step \( Q_{Sci} \) is rejected if \( |Q_{Sci} - Q_{Si}| > 0.25 \). The final result for the charge is \( Q = (Q_{Sci} + Q_{Si})/2 \). The described procedure reduces the backgrounds in the charge spectrum and improves its resolution but reduces the initial statistics by the factor of about 4. There are other strategies to process charge data from the scintillator hodoscope but for this paper we select the strategy of “higher resolution - lower backgrounds - lower statistics”. The charge spectra obtained with the silicon matrix only and with the silicon matrix plus the upper layer of hodoscope are compared in fig. 1.

**Measurement of the relative fluxes**

We calculate ratio of fluxes of different nuclei in cosmic rays to the flux of carbon against energy of particles per nucleon. It is a multi-step procedure which is designed to obtain the most exact information for fluxes of nuclei with charges \( 4 \leq q \leq 14 \).

1. For five ranges of the energy deposit \( E_d \) in the BGO calorimeter (50–100, 100–200, 200–398, 398–794, 794–1585 GeV) the charge spectra (similar to fig. 1, the lower graph) are built up. There is a dependency of ionization from the energy of particles. To account for this dependency we decompose each charge spectrum by Gaussian peaks (the value \( \chi^2 \) per one degree of freedom is close to 1 in all cases), calculate the positions of peaks and produce charge boxes for each particular primary particle such that the margins of boxes are at the half of path between adjacent peaks. The number of counts \( I_{0,q}^s \) in each charge box \( q \) for \( E_d \) range number \( s \) is the raw data to obtain the fluxes of primary particles \((s = 0 \text{ corresponds to the energy region of } E_d 50–100 \text{ GeV}, \text{etc})\).

2. Protons and helium due to interaction in the substance (aluminum honeycomb and other) of the ATIC device above the silicon matrix could sometimes simulate heavier nuclei. This effect is energy dependent (grows with energy). Corresponding backgrounds \( B_{p,He}^s,q \) for each value \( I_{0,q}^s \) are calculated by simulation of propagation of protons and helium through the ATIC device by the FLUKA code \([2]\), with simulation of the conditions of charge selection (see previous section). The apparatus charge line widths are accounted for as well. This procedure produces the corrected values of intensities \( I_{1,q}^s = I_{0,q}^s - B_{p,He}^s,q \). The value of \( B_{p,He}^s,q \) for boron \((q = 5)\) varies from 9% to 36% of \( I_{0,q}^s \).
3. The particles with charges $q \geq 15$ due to fragmentation in the substance of the ATIC device above the silicon matrix could simulate nuclei of $4 \leq q \leq 14$. The corresponding backgrounds were subtracted but the effect is small (about 0.1% for boron) and we do not describe the method of subtraction here.

4. Each nuclei of $4 \leq q \leq 14$ due to interactions in the ATIC device, and due to the apparatus broadening of lines produces some “charge response” of the device which may be described for each particular nucleus $q$ at the entrance of the device by a set of the coefficients $K_q^1, K_q^2, \ldots, K_q^{14}$, where $K_q^n$ is the probability to find nucleus $q$ in the charge box 4, etc. Of course the coefficient $K_q^n$ dominates strongly in the set $K_q^n, \ldots, K_q^{14}$. In other words the matrix $||K_q^n||$ is diagonally-dominated. Let $F_q$ be the intensity of the nucleus $q$ at the entrance of the device. Then for each energy region $s$ the experimental charge spectrum $I_s^4$ after subtraction of p-He backgrounds (described above in the item 2, we do not write the index $s$ for simplicity) may be written as

$$
I_s^4 = K_q^4 F_q + K_q^5 F_5 + \ldots + K_q^{14} F_{14} \\
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Table 1: B/C, N/O, C/O ratio against primary energy per nucleon (GeV/n). The numbers in parenthesis give the uncertainty in the last significant digits quoted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>B/C</th>
<th>N/O</th>
<th>C/O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>0.180(11)</td>
<td>0.219(10)</td>
<td>1.020(26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>0.169(15)</td>
<td>0.199(13)</td>
<td>1.087(43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>0.119(29)</td>
<td>0.184(24)</td>
<td>0.933(60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149</td>
<td>0.064(63)</td>
<td>0.144(68)</td>
<td>1.022(227)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experimental errors were calculated as a combination of the Poisson dispersion of the experimental statistics and the statistical errors of the simulations. If the desired quantities were obtained as a solution of a linear system (as in steps 4 and 6) then corresponding complete covariation matrix were calculated by Monte Carlo method. All reported errors are the standard deviations.

Results and discussion

The results for B/C, N/C, O/C ratio are presented in table 1. The data for B/C ratio along with the data of HEAO-3-C2 experiment [1] and with theoretical predictions are shown in fig. 2 (lack of space precludes graphs for the other ratios). One can see that the data of present work is in a reasonable agreement with the data of [1] but are extended to higher energies. The theoretical curves in fig. 2 are calculations in leaky box approximation. The dashed line is based on the HEAO-3-C2 fit for the Galaxy escape length [1] $\lambda_{esc} = 34.1 \beta R^{-0.60}$ g cm$^{-2}$ (R is the rigidity) and the solid line is for the escape length obtained in the model of Kolmogorov type of magnetic turbulence and reacceleration during propagation [4]:

$\lambda_{esc} = 4.2 (R/R_0)^{-1/3} [1 + (R/R_0)^{-2/3}]$ g cm$^{-2}$, where $R_0$ = 5.5 GV. Whereas the experimental data support general trend of decreasing B/C ratio with energy it is impossible to distinguish between different models of propagation of particles due to large experimental errors.

It should be noted that our experimental data are model dependent due to extensive simulation of rather high background by the FLUKA system, but the situation may be improved by usage of addi-

Figure 2: B/C ratio from this work, from HEAO-3-C2 experiment and from theory.
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