Energy estimation of AGASA events
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Abstract. We have observed cosmic rays above $10^{20}$ eV by the Akeno Giant Air Shower Array (AGASA). Their energy determination is important to discuss their origin. In this paper, energy estimation method of the AGASA events at various zenith angles is described up to 60° and the experimental results are reproduced by the simulation taking into consideration the response of the detector. A new conversion relation to estimate the primary energy is obtained.

1 Introduction

Cosmic rays above $10^{20}$ eV were observed by the Akeno Giant Air Shower Array (Takeda et al., 1998). The source candidates of these ultra-high energy cosmic rays are classified into two models, the bottom-up model and the top-down model. In the bottom-up model, charged particles are accelerated in astrophysical objects and propagate to the earth through intergalactic and galactic space. The acceleration limit at astrophysical objects is considered to be around $10^{20}$ to $10^{21}$ eV (Nagano and Watson, 2000). In the top-down model, ultra-high energy cosmic rays are produced as decay products of heavy particles generated in the early universe. Their energy spectrum is considered to extend up to Grand Unified Theory (GUT) scale and to be hard, $\sim E^{-1.5}$ and gamma-rays may be dominant (Bhattacharjee and Sigl, 2000). In any case, in the energy spectrum there will be a cutoff (GZK cut off) around $4 \times 10^{19}$ eV if sources are extragalactic origin and distributed uniformly in the universe. If the special relativity is violated (Coleman and Glashow, 1999), the energy of the GZK cut off will be higher or disappear. Therefore energy estimation of the ultra-high energy cosmic rays is very important to reveal their origin.

2 Experiment

AGASA is located at $35° 47′ N$, $138° 30′ E$. The height of the central observatory is 900m (atmospheric depth 920g/cm²). 111 scintillation detectors are deployed over an area of 100km² with a separation of about 1km. A scintillator of 5cm thickness and of 2.2m² area is installed in a steel box. The pulse height of each signal and its counting rate of each scintillation detector are continuously monitored independent of shower triggers and the monitor data is used for calibration of absolute number of particles.

The method of estimating energy in the AGASA experiment is briefly outlined as follows.

1. The density observed at each detector is fitted by an em-
The conversion factor from $S_0(600)$ to the primary energy at the Akeno level was derived by the simulation (Dai et al., 1988) based on the COSMOS program by Kasahara et al. (1979) and the following relation has been used for AGASA experiment so far.

$$E_0 [\text{eV}] = 2.03 \times 10^{17} \cdot S_0(600)^{1.0}$$

In the simulation, the density was calculated by adding the densities from electron sub showers, which were approximated by the NKG function. Therefore the observed density was converted to electron density to compare with the simulated one as described in Nagano et al. (2000). In this paper, considering the structure of the AGASA detector, the density observed with the scintillator is evaluated, by Monte Carlo simulation, which can be directly compared with the experimental data.

### 3 Monte Carlo simulation

#### 3.1 Detector

The response of the AGASA detector is evaluated using GEANT3.21. Each scintillator of 2.2m² area and 5cm thickness is installed in the box made of 2mm thick steel, which is settled in a hut made of 0.4mm thick steel. The conversion of photons in the wall of the hut and of the scintillator box, the scattering of particles, the decay of unstable particles etc. and 4-momentum of shower particles are taken into account. The energy deposit in the scintillator is taken as the signal from the detector.

Fig.2 is the response of the AGASA detector to photons, electrons and muons with various energies and incident angles. In the energy spectrum of shower particles, the mean energies of photons and electrons are $\sim 10\text{MeV}$ and that of muons is $\sim 1\text{GeV}$ around 1km from the shower core. Therefore photons typically contribute as $\sim 0.1$ particles, and electrons and muons typically contribute as one particle. These three particles are major particles in air showers, though the response to other particles such as pions and kaons are also taken into account.

In the AGASA experiment, “one particle” is defined conventionally as a peak value of the pulse width distribution (PWD). In the simulation, “one particle” is defined as the peak value of $\log_{10}(\text{Energy deposit in the scintillator})$ for omni-directional muons taking the energy spectrum into consideration like the experiment.

#### 3.2 Air Shower

AIRES 2.2.1 (Sciutto, 1999) was used to generate air showers for proton and iron primaries at a thinning level of $10^{-6}$. As the hadron interaction models in the high energy region, QGSJET and SIBYLL are used. The results of four combination of different primary particles and different interaction models are compared. Each shower particle generated by AIRES is converted to scintillator response using the results...
described in the previous section taking into account the incident angle and the energy of each particle.

4 Results

The attenuation of $S(600)$ with atmospheric depth is determined using the equi-intensity cut method. In this method, assuming the intensity of the cosmic rays is independent of the zenith angle, the equi-intensity cut is made on the integral spectra of $S_0(600)$ at various zenith angles. The results are plotted in Fig. 3. These plots correspond to the attenuation of $S_0(600)$ at a certain energy. The dashed lines (b) in the figure is the empirical function we have used for AGASA so far, and it is valid up to $\sec \theta \sim 1.5$. This empirical function is extended in order to use the events up to 60° and a new function is shown by solid lines (a) in the figure. This relation is expressed by the following equation.

$$S_0(600) = S_0(600) \exp \left[ - \left\{ \frac{X'_0}{X_1} (\sec \theta - 1) \right\}^2 - \left\{ \frac{X'_0}{X_2} (\sec \theta - 1) \right\}^2 + \left\{ \frac{X'_0}{X_3} (\sec \theta - 1) \right\}^3 \right], \quad (4)$$

where $X'_0 = 957$ g/cm$^2$ is the average atmospheric depth at AGASA, $X'_1 = 605$ g/cm$^2$, $X'_2 = 514$ g/cm$^2$ and $X'_3 = 654$ g/cm$^2$.

The equation (4) agrees well with the experimental data up to $10^{20}$ eV, although the error becomes large around $10^{20}$ eV.

Fig. 3. Attenuation of $S(600)$ using the equi-intensity method. The closed circles are experimental data. The dashed lines (a) represent the equation which has been used for the AGASA experiment so far, and the solid lines (b) represent the newly obtained function (Equation(4)), which agree well with experimental data up to 60°.

Fig. 4. The attenuation of $S_0(600)$ by experiment compared to that by AIRES simulation. The black lines and the gray ones are proton and iron primary cosmic rays, respectively, and the solid and dashed lines are the results using QGSJET model and SIBYLL model, respectively.

$S_0(600)$ determined using experimental data and that using simulated data are compared in Fig. 4. The results calculated by AIRES simulation agree well with the experimental data. The difference between the primary particles, pro-
ton and iron, and between the hadronic interaction models, QGSJET and SIBYLL is less than 10 % up to sec $\theta = 1.5$ and 20 % around sec $\theta = 2$.

5 Energy conversion relation

Fig. 5. The relation of $S_0(600)$ parameter and primary energy for proton (red) and iron (blue) obtained by AIRES simulation. QGSJET (circle) and SIBYLL (square) are used as interaction models at high energy.

Fig.5 shows the conversion relation from $S_0(600)$ to the primary energy for four combination of proton and iron primaries, and QGSJET and SIBYLL interaction models. When the relation is written with the following form, the parameters $a$ and $b$ are summarized in Table 1.

$$E_0 [\text{eV}] = a \times 10^{17} \cdot S_0(600)^b,$$

(5)

where $S_0(600)$ is in the unit of m$^{-2}$. The difference in energy conversion factor is about 10% at $10^{20}$eV between proton and iron, and 10% between QGSJET and SIBYLL.

Table 1. The conversion relation of $S_0(600)$ to primary energy at average AGASA height calculated by AIRES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>model</th>
<th>species</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QGSJET</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIBYLL</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average conversion relation for primary proton and iron using the hadron interaction models, QGSJET and SIBYLL is expressed by the following equation.

$$E [\text{eV}] = 2.23 \times 10^{17} \cdot S_0(600)^{1.02} \text{ [m}^2\text{]}$$

(6)

6 Summary

$S(600)$ is a more reliable energy estimator than the total number of charged particles at observation levels deeper than the depth at the shower maximum. Considering the scintillator response including the detailed structure of the AGASA detector the attenuation of $S_0(600)$ can be reproduced well by air shower simulations up to $60^\circ$. As a consequence the sky near the Galactic center can be reliably covered by AGASA. It will be useful for the analysis of anisotropy related to our Galaxy. The $S_0(600)$-primary energy relation so far used for AGASA gives the more conservative energy than any of the simulation results performed this time. Using the new conversion relation to the primary energy, estimated energies of AGASA events is increased by 20% at $10^{20}$eV.
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